

PASTOR'S STUDY,
First Presbyterian Church,
Passaic, N. J.

Oct. 17

Dear Bro. Belam.

Your of Wednesday got
to me this, Friday morning. I can only reach
you before 8 o'clock, as I suppose you will
leave home early on Monday morning.
Your former letter should have been answered.
I am at fault. The N. S. came safely and
the "N. S. No. 1's" - for which I am thank-
ful. The best I can do with my N. S.
will be to bring with me to you a "proof"
- perhaps a "noise". I will try to have it
so that after showing it to you on Mon-
day I can telegraph back to have them
go on and print. I would not like to
have you wait in this office for yours.
I have had to crowd to get them along with
mine. As to your question -
I should favor another Church of No. 1.

I think it valuable as a concise state-
ment of our plans: and after the U.S. M.
work it will probably serve you good pur-
pose in answering questions from other
States.

I do not know how to reach the subject of
rail road taxation. Our ministers here
generally hold half-fare privileges on
our own roads. I have them on two and
might have on a third.

I knew before hand of the meeting of the
sub. Com. I need not say. but have not heard
results. I see by the call of the Joint
Com. that the arrangements are to be ready
on Monday next. We are returned in our
Parliamentary, but we shall get into order.
Much interest is felt by all. It is
more difficult to get the prominent men
from New York than I imagined.

I had seen the Elizabeth plan and it
is fine. I met Cobb of Elizabeth on Mon-
day, and he was enthusiastic.

PASTOR'S STUDY,
First Presbyterian Church,
Passaic, N. J.

I have seen N.S.M. No 2 in the hands of
two or three persons who got it from the P.O.
One spoke of the striking suggestion
of the pictures - I guess that will
generally be taken rightly and will
be effective. I do not believe we
will get a general exchange of sub-
jects in Jersey City Parks - but we are
to talk of it at Synod.

After Synod, what? After Nov. 19 - what?
I hope you will come to Synod with some
plan for carrying on Foreign Mission
work within New Jersey with vigor.

The present movement should be only a
beginning. Will your Committee be
reconstituted? or only continued?

Shall it be the aim to repeat "N.S.M."
again by again? The experience this year
would put us in good shape to do
the thing easily another time.

N.S.M. aside — do you know what is
to become of the "Missouri Review" now
that Mr. Wilson is dead? I wish that
periodical could be made a journal.
Its idea is excellent, though I never
could abide the enormous discrepancy in
it. I would like to know who takes it
up. I am committed to write all I can
on Missouri. Although I have had some
things in more than half the nos of the
"Church at Home & Abroad", I do not feel
that it is a good place, in the back end
of what concerns books. I still think that
Fr. Missouri should stand out by itself in
a journal of its own — Hoping to see you
on Monday I am
Yours very truly
P. H. Brown